

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/629,154

Applicant(s)

LEE ET AL.

Examiner

Phallaka Kik

Art Unit

2825

All Participants:

(1) Phallaka Kik.

(2) Grant J. Scott (Reg. No. 36,925).

Status of Application: pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 23 June 2006

Time: 2:24PM

Type of Interview:



Telephonic



Video Conference



Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1-38

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner left a message on Applicant's Representative voice machine because Applicant's Representative was not available at the time, indicating that the claims are subjected to restriction requirements. Since Applicant's Representative did not return phone in the time allotted, a written restriction will be sent out so that Applicant have more time to consider the restriction. .